IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

TUESDAY, THE 27TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 8TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 6443 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

A.K. RAVEENDRAN, HEALTH SUPERVISOR,
KOTTAYAM MUNICIPALITY, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.B.UNNIKRISHNA KAIMAL
SRI.V.MADHUSUDHANAN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR, URBAN AFFAIRS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K. DENESAN, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No.6443 OF 2007 P
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 27th February, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is working as Health Supervisor in the Kottayam Municipality. He has filed Ext. P6 application in the prescribed pro forma before the 2nd respondent showing the place of his choice for a transfer and posting. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will file a representation also before the 2nd respondent in the same lines as he has done as per Ext. P5 addressed to the 1st respondent through proper channel. The reasons which compelled the petitioner to file Ext. P6 have been stated in Ext. P5 and since the petitioner says that he will bring those facts to the notice of the 2nd respondent also, it is not necessary for me to narrate those facts here. I have no reason to assume that those facts will not be considered by the 2nd respondent. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that a vacancy is likely to arise some time in July, 2007 in Vadakara in Kozhikode District and the petitioner being a native of that place, he would like to get posted at Vadakara.

2. I have heard Govt. Pleader for the respondents.

3. In the circumstances, it is only proper that the 2nd respondent considers the request of the petitioner as per Ext. P6 and the representation which he would like to submit in support of Ext. P6. It will be open to the 2nd respondent to consider Ext. P6 at the time of general transfers and if that is not possible his request can be considered against the vacancy likely to arise in July, 2007.

With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

K.K. DENESAN
JUDGE

jan/